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Good evening,

The purpose of this letter is to review the progress Team 092 made in developing the Property Management project and also provide some feedback on the development team’s presentation.

This letter review focuses on providing feedback to the development team on the basis of the following:

1. Preparedness: If the development team was confident while presenting their product, it can be concluded that they were prepared for this demonstration.
2. Expectations: Did the development team succeeded in fulfilling all the expectations that the client team had for the first sprint i.e. was the acceptance criteria for all the user stories fulfilled?
3. Technical: Was the presentation too technical for the client team to interpret and were there any technical flaws in the demonstration?
4. Professionalism: Was the client team able to evaluate the progress that the development team made solely based on the presentation?

The team’s overall progress and the quality of their presentation is discussed based on the above mentioned points.

**Prepardeness**

Team 92 began strong and confident. They followed the proposed structure of the demonstration, which shows that they were prepared and ready for what was to be showed. They had two screens so that at all times throughout the demonstration we could always see the project so far. This allowed us to visualise and refer back to the project and whether the requirements were being met and how well they were being performed.

A well developed prototype was available for viewing throughout the presentation. This enabled our team to clearly view the progress and visualise some of the features that will be in the final product. Having this prototype available demonstrated the development and preparation that had gone into the project so far. This boosted confidence in the quality of the product that was being developed.

**Expectations**

As the client team we expected that the certain user stories agreed to from the sprint plan to be completed. And the team had delivered all user stories in the first sprint.

The team was self-assured that their demonstration of the application developed so far would pass all the acceptance criterias for the user stories in the first sprint, proving that they had gone through the testing of the criterias thoroughly.

We were satisfied with their demonstration as they managed to complete all the user stories mentioned in the first sprint successfully.

**Technical**

The presentation allowed clear communication and presentation of the required content. The dual screens allowed comparison of the tasks performed and user stories to what was physically and aesthetically created in the project so far. This made it easier to compare the level of progress so far.

The technical information presented during the presentation was delivered at the right level for all stakeholders present. The presentation accommodated for all technical skill levels amongst the stakeholders. When more technical questions were asked about the project the development team explained the details in both a technical manner for some of the more technical stakeholder’s but incredibly understandable and relatable to each of the user stories throughout and kept it simple all the way through.

The development team presented an ERD diagram clearly articulating the system architecture by going through each table and its properties as well as providing well-reasoned explanations for the exclusion or inclusion of certain system features. For example how the different tables linked to one another and the rationale behind the choice. The presentation included numerous demonstrations including the demo of the property development website and also a run down on the user stories and ERD however.

The development team’s technical demonstration did not encounter any technical difficulties during the presentation. We were briefed before the demonstration that some of the features were not present but understood that these features were included in later sprints. The demo that was provided showed clear layouts on how the final product would look from a visual perspective. Some of the backend database structure was not present but the visual presentation accommodated this by adding in placeholders and dummy data to demonstrate what listing would look like when they start importing the data in from the database.

There were no defects present during the demonstration. All sections of the demonstration product were well developed with no major or noticeable defects.

**Professionalism**

Team 92 were very well presented. The layout of the presentation followed the correct criteria and order and ultimately made it easier to understand the process taken to reach the current stage of the project. There were two main speakers of the group with other occasional added information from other members. The two main presenters were well informed and could thoroughly explain the process the team went through to complete the first sprint plan. Additionally the few questions that were raised were confidently and clearly answered by the two main speakers of the group. There were no major glitches in the presentation. We were shown the different prototypes to see the process they went through in developing the version now. However in the current prototype there were parts where we were to use our imagination, however it was in visualising the end product. Those visualisations were for the next sprint and not the current one, which they were able to adhere to.

The presentation was well prepared in advance, since it was carried out professionally and systematically. The client team’s questions about the development of the project were answered by the development team with confidence. The members of the development team pitched in when the part of the implementation they did came up, showing good team spirit and participation.

Overall, Team 092’s progress was commendable and their dedication was truly appreciated by the client team. As of now, the client team is impressed by the progress they made in the project by completing the first sprint successfully. Since, all the user stories and the acceptance criterias associated with the user stories were executed efficiently, the client team believes that if they can keep up with this dedication and team spirit, they can complete their second sprint successfully too.
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